

Dr. Nigel PAINTER

Charges

Date Charge(s) Laid: Hearing: Charge(s): Outcome Date: November 21, 2014 Completed Unprofessional Conduct November 21, 2014

Charge #1

You Dr. Nigel Painter are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or **Bylaw 8.1(b)(xvi)**, particulars whereof are that during the years 2013 and 2014 you committed acts of sexual impropriety with your patient, referred to in this charge as patient number 1.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include the following:

- a) Patient number 1 was your patient at all times material to this charge;
- b) You engaged in sexual activity with patient number 1, which included sexual intercourse with the patient.

Charge #2

You Dr. Nigel Painter are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include one or more of the following:

- a) Patient number 1 was your patient;
- b) You engaged in sexual activity with Patient number 1, which included sexual intercourse with her;
- c) The College of Physicians and Surgeons sent a letter dated 24th day of July, 2014 to Patient Number 1. That letter advised Patient number 1 that the College had received information that she had been involved in a sexual relationship with you and asked Patient Number 1 to meet with College representatives and to provide information to College representatives;
- d) After receiving the letter from the College, Patient Number 1 contacted you;
- e) On or about the 30th of July, 2014 Patient Number 1 sent a text message to you which stated "Im not going to respond to them.. They cant make me talk to them can they?";
- f) You then assisted Patient number 1 in providing a response to the College which denied there was a sexual relationship.